





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/842,734 | 04/26/2001 | Zhiyi Yu | JG00143 | 8306 |
| 23330 7 | 590 12/09/2002 | | | |
| MOTOROLA, INC. CORPORATE LAW DEPARTMENT - #56-238 3102 NORTH 56TH STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HU, SHOUXIANG | |
| PHOENIX, AZ | HOENIX, AZ 85018 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2811 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| | • | | In the | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 4 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/842,734 | YU ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Shouxiang Hu | 2811 | | | |
| Period fo | Th MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of Reply | ppears on the cov r sheet with th | ne correspondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 | 3 October 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-54 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)[| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | |
| 8) | 8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examir | er. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| _ |) ☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes | • • | | | | |
| Attachment | :(s) | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Ti TO-326 (Re | | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 | | | |

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Claims 39-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper
 No. 4.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-38 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that: (a) there is no explanation as to why the given alterative process is materially different to the claimed process; and (b) there is no demonstrable burden placed on the Office because only a few subclasses need to be searched. This is not found persuasive as explained below.

The claimed invention of Group I involves the subject matter of a structure comprising a monocrystalline material layer on a binary metal oxide layer. Such a structure can be formed alternatively by forming the monocrystalline material layer through bonding on the binary metal oxide layer, instead of through epitaxial growth as defined in the Group II invention. Accordingly, the given alterative process is materially different to the claimed process in Group II, as it is not readable on the claimed process in Group II.

In addition, although only two subclasses were shown in the Restriction requirement in the previous Office Action, these are only the two representative ones.

And, more and different subclasses and key word searches are required for each of the

Art Unit: 2811

inventions of Group 1 and Group II, such as subclasses of 257/190, 192, 200, 189, 12, 20, 24, which are necessary for Group I, and 438/483, 478, 481, 46, 47, 27, and 94, which are necessary for Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and substantial burden would be imposed on the Examiner if both of the inventions had to be searched and examined, thus restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement in the previous Office action is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Accordingly, claims 1-54 are pending in this application; and claims 1-38 remain active in this Office action.

Further Election/Restriction

4. This application contains claims 1-38 further directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, embodiment of Fig. 1 with a template layer shown in Fig. 5, involving a buffer layer formed of a crystalline binary metal oxide layer on an amorphous layer, in combination with a surfactant/capping template layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Species 2, embodiment of Fig. 1 with a template layer shown in Fig. 6, involving a buffer layer formed of a crystalline binary metal oxide layer on an amorphous layer, in combination with a Zintl-phase template layer.

Species 3, embodiment of Fig. 2 with a template layer shown in Fig. 5, involving a buffer layer formed of an entirely amorphous layer, in combination with a surfactant/capping template layer.

Species 4, embodiment of Fig. 2 with a template layer shown in Fig. 6, involving a buffer layer formed of an entirely amorphous layer, in combination with a Zintl-phase template layer.

Species 5, embodiment of Fig. 3 with a template layer shown in Fig. 5, involving a buffer layer formed of a stained binary metal oxide stack on an amorphous layer, in combination with a surfactant/capping template layer.

Species 6, embodiment of Fig. 3 with a template layer shown in Fig. 6, involving a buffer layer formed of a stained binary metal oxide stack on an amorphous layer, in combination with a Zintl-phase template layer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least clam 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

Art Unit: 2811

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/842,734

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

SH December 7, 2002

Shousiang Hu
Patent Examiner
TC2800

the state of the s